

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 WWW. 18910 GOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,917	12/10/1999	MARTIN E. NEWELL	07844-353001	9475
21876	7590 10/11/2002			
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			EXAMINER	
500 ARGUELLO STREET SUITE 500 REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063		·	SAJOUS, V	VESNER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

Application No. 09/458,917 Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

Newell et al.

Examiner

Wesner Sajous

Art Unit 2676



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED Oct 1, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proprejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	er reply to a final on in condition for				
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{\hspace{1cm}3\hspace{1cm}}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejecti is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the ma rejection.	on, whichever				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the per 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	iod set forth in				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and requisite fees.	Appeal Brief with				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🕅 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	ıs.				
NOTE: the added limitations of claims 1, 23 and 24 would require at least further reconsideration,					
limitations are not wholly directed to issues which were raised in the finally rejected claims.					
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).	e if submitted in a				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but doe application in condition for allowance because:					
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does	es NOT place the				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but doe application in condition for allowance because:  7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we have the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the considered because it is not directed SOLEL	es NOT place the				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does application in condition for allowance because:  7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we by the Examiner in the final rejection.	es NOT place the				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does application in condition for allowance because:  7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we by the Examiner in the final rejection.  8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, in	vere newly raised				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does application in condition for allowance because:  7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we by the Examiner in the final rejection.  8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, in Claim(s) allowed:	vere newly raised				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but doe application in condition for allowance because:  7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we by the Examiner in the final rejection.  8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, in Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24  9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved.	vere newly raised				
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but doe application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, in Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24	vere newly raised				